SOP number : UoP SOP-CQA-002 Faculty/Department/Division/Centre/Unit/Other: Centre for Quality Assurance Effective Date: 29 th January 2022 Maximum time required for the procedure:		
1.	Title: Procedure for Developing/Revising Curricula and Obtaining Approval	
2.	Purpose : To describe the procedure to be followed in developing/revising curricula and obtaining approval from appropriate authorities	
3.	Scope: This SOP outlines the procedure to be followed in developing/revising curricula and obtaining approval from appropriate authorities under the University policies on Curriculum Planning, Development and Revision (UoP-P-009), Assessments and Award of Qualifications (UoP-P-005), Requirement to Align with National Policies and Frameworks (UoP-P-006) and Teaching & Learning (UoP-P-015). Under the Policy on Requirement to Align with National Policies and Frameworks (UoP-P 006), this SOP includes necessary steps to align programs with SLQF, other national requirements, and requirements stipulated by the UGC.	
4.	Overall Responsibility – Monitoring the SOP:ADPC & Senate	
5.	Overall Responsibility – Implementing the SOP:	
	a. Name:	

6. The Procedure:

Part 1: Planning for Designing and Development of the Curriculum/Course(s) (New/Revised)

b. **Designation:** Dean of faculties/Directors of PGIs

Contact No:...Ext No: Dean's/Director's Office

	Step	Responsibility
1.	Expression of interest in the Strategic Plan of the faculty in line with the University	The Strategic Planning Committee, The Dean of the Faculty/Director of the PGI
2.	Expression of interest in the Annual Action Plan of the faculty/institute	The Dean of the Faculty/Director of the Institute & The Chairman- BoS
3.	Nominating two external reviewers (subject experts) by the faculty/institute and getting them approved by the Senate	The Dean of the Faculty/Director of the Institute & the Chairman- BoS & Senate

4.	Evaluation of the mandate of the	Dean/Director, Curriculum
	department/faculty/institute in relation to the intended	Committee/ BoS
	proposal/revision	
5.	Evaluation of the capacity of the	Dean/Director, Curriculum
	department/faculty/institute in terms of the existing student	Committee/ BoS
	intake, staff cadre, educational & shared facilities, and the	
	planned new intake (if relevant)	
6.	Need analysis: Conducting the stakeholder	Dean/Director, Curriculum
	workshops/brainstorming sessions/focus group	Committee/ BoS
	discussions/surveys on relevant target groups. Conducting	
	SWOT/PEST/PESTEL analyses as appropriate	
7.	Justification of intended new proposal/revision in terms of	Dean/Director, Curriculum
	the requirements of the industry/country/field of study with	Committee/ BoS
	evidence/data from tracer studies/surveys/stakeholder	
	expectations and availability of similar programs in the	
	same or other universities	
8.	Staying clear on the objectives of the intended new/existing	Dean/Director, Curriculum
	study program & the faculty/institute	Committee/ BoS

Part 2: Designing and Development of the Curriculum/Course(s) (New/Revised)

Step	Responsibility
9. Identifying Program Learning outcomes (and Graduate Profile)/revising the existing ones accordingly if it is a revision	Curriculum Committee/ BoS
 10. Designing the content (Courses) based on PLOs in a design-down approach in an OBE model Mapping the Graduate Profile & PLOs to attributes of the qualification holder as per SLQF Mapping Course ILOs against PLOs Mapping PLOs against Level-Descriptors of SLQF Mapping the courses against Level-Descriptors of SLQF Mapping the program against requirements of relevant Subject Benchmark Statements 	Curriculum Committee/ BoS
 11. Planning teaching-learning methods Use of appropriate Teaching-Learning methods which are learner-centred and promote outcome-based learning Annexure 1; SLQF 2015 page 15 	Curriculum Committee/ BoS
12. Designing and & constructively aligning the assessment system of the program based on Course ILOs and PLOs Annexure 1	Curriculum Committee/ BoS
13. Initial approval of the curriculum	Curriculum Committee/Board of Study
14. Sending the curriculum to the two Senate-approved external reviewers	Dean/Director
15. Addressing the concerns of the reviewers by the Curriculum Committee/BoS, keeping a record of changes introduced	Curriculum Committee/Board of Study
16. IQAC Coordinator & ADPC representative of the faculty/institute ensure completeness and meeting all requirements and the ADPC representative place his/her signature confirming the same	IQAC Coordinator & ADPC Representative

Part 3: Obtaining the Approval of the Senate & Council

(Please see Annexure 3)

Step	Responsibility
17. Dean/Director submitting the curriculum to 2 appropriate members of the ADPC to seek feedback /observations	Dean/Director
18. Final amendments and final approval of the Faculty	Curriculum
Board/BoM.	Committee/Board of Study
19. Submitting the curriculum to Council & Academic (C&A)	Dean/Director
Division to be tabled at ADPC using the template given in	
Annexure 2 as the cover page	
20. Obtaining ADPC approval	Dean/Director
21. C&A Division tabling the curriculum for the approval of	DR/SAR/AR of the C&A
the Senate	Division
22. Obtaining the approval of the Senate	Dean/Director
23. Obtaining the approval of the Council (with Senate	DR/SAR/AR of the C&A
Minutes)	Division

Part 4: Obtaining the Approval of the UGC

(Please see Annexure 3)

Step	Responsibility
 24. Submission of the approved proposal (one hard copy and the soft copy in a CD) to the Director QAC: signed by the Dean, Director, CQA and the Vice-Chancellor following the approval of the Council with a cover letter signed by the Dean/Director A payment of Rs 10000/= to be made to the UGC for each study program 	Dean/Director PGI; Director, CQA
 25. Following review, QAC requests amendments, if needed, via the Director, CQA of the University. The Faculty/Institute is required to resubmit with necessary amendments to the proposal A payment of Rs 10000/= to be made to the UGC for each resubmission of proposals relating to Postgraduate or UG-external degree programs 	Dean/Director PGI; Director, CQA
26. QAC requests for necessary recommendations from the relevant subject Standing Committees (SC/s) of the UGC if required	QAC-UGC
 27. QAC requests amended soft copy via email from the University (sent back and forth until all the sections of the application comply with the QAC and other relevant SC requirements) A payment of Rs 10000/= to be made to the UGC for each resubmission of proposals relating to Postgraduate or UG-external degree programs 	QAC-UGC; Dean/Director PGI; Director/CQA
28. QAC requests the final hard copy of the proposal and the soft copy of the same in a CD via Director/CQA	QAC-UGC
29. Submission of the final hard copy and a soft copy of the same in a CD via Director/CQA to the QAC-UGC	Dean/Director
30. Submission of the final hard copy of the proposal and the QAC summary report to Secretary/UGC	QAC-UGC

31. The proposal is submitted to the Management Committee	Academic Affairs
by the Academic Affairs Division/UGC	Division/UGC
32. The proposal is submitted to the Commission for approval	Academic Affairs
	Division/UGC
	Management Committee,
	UGC
33. The recommendation and the approval of the Commission	UGC
is conveyed to the University	

Abbreviations: SOP, Standard Operating Procedures; PGI, Postgraduate Institute; UGC, University Grants Commission; QAC, Quality Assurance Council; CQA, Centre for Quality Assurance; ADPC, Academic Development and Planning Committee; IQAC, Internal Quality Assurance Cell; BoS, Board of Study; AR, Assistant Registrar, SAR, Senior Assistant Registrar; DR, Deputy Registrar;

7. A note on Special Circumstances (by the officer responsible for implementing the SOP):

Name of the SOP:
Notes for further enhancing the efficiency (by the officer responsible):
Any additional template/SOPs to be developed under/in relation to this for further enhancing the efficiency:
Difficulties experienced and suggested solutions:
Declaration by the Monitoring Responsibility
This SOP was reviewed on
a
b
C
Date:Signature:
Designation:

*, The SOP shall be reviewed using the information on this page every two years, and for that, this page shall be returned to CMD, UoP

Annexure 1: Revised template approved by the Senate at its 463rd meeting held on 22.09.2021

Format & Guidelines for Submitting New Courses to the ADPC & Senate

Semester:					
Course Code:					
Course Name:					
Credit Value:	# (Noti	onal hours	: ###)		
Pre-requisites:					
Core/Optional					
Hourly Breakdown This should be provided as hours assigned for lectures, practical classes or independent learning etc., such that a total of 50 or 100 notional hours of learning are	Lecture hrs	Tutorial hrs	Practical class hrs	Etc.	Independent Learning & Assessment hrs
required for each credit (see					
guidelines attached) Course Aim:					
Intended Learning Outcomes: > > > Course Content: (Only main topics & subtopics)					
Teaching /Learning Methods:					
Assessment Strategy:					
Continuous Ass	sessment			Final A	ssessment
%			%		
Details: quizzes %, mid-term %, other % (specify)	%		Theory (%)	Practica (%)	Other (%) (specify)
Recommended Reading:				<u> </u>	

Guidelines for preparing the course specifications

1. Aim of the course:

The aim of a course is what the teacher intends to do. It is the primary purpose of conducting the course as seen from the perspective of the teacher

Examples

- (i) This course aims to introduce the students to the basic principles of scientific research.
- (ii) This course deals with an in-depth analysis of the causes of the Second World War.

2. Intended Learning Outcomes:

The Intended Learning Outcomes (ILOs) of a course are the primary abilities, attributes, competencies or advantages the students may expect to gain and be able to demonstrate from following the course/by the end of the course.

ILOs are similar to objectives in that they are also stated from the student's point of view but are broader than objectives. Usually, one outcome will encompass several objectives of different domains.

Examples:

On successful completion of the course, the students should be able to:

(i) carry out
(ii) analyze
(iii)describe
(iv)perform
(v) explain

3. Course content:

Only the main & subtopics are to be included. Hourly breakdown with lecture/lesson titles should not be included in the ADPC template.

4. Prescribed Texts:

The year of publication and the edition should be given.

5. Hours for different teaching-learning activities per credit:

	Direct Contact	Notional hours per credit (by
	Hours per credit	SLQF 2015)
Lectures	15 hours	50 hours
Tutorials	15 hours	50 hours
Demonstrations	15 hours	50 hours
Practical Classes	30 hours	50 hours
In-Class Assignments	30 hours	50 hours
Clinical work	45 hours	50 hours
Small-Group Discussions	15 hours	50 hours
Field Studies	45 hours	50 hours

	*Notional hours per credit (by SLQF 2015)
Industrial Training	100 hours

Research Project	100 hours
Clinicals (by observation)	100 hours
Work Place-Based Learning (in suitable settings)	100 hours
Internships	100 hours

- Notional learning hours include direct contact hours with teachers, time spent in self-learning, preparation for assignments, carrying out assignments and assessments.
- Refer to the FAQ on the SLQF web page under UGC for more information on notional learning hours and credits (from items 1.23 to 1.27) https://eugc.ac.lk/qac/slqf-faq.html

Note: Additional details regarding delivery/assessment could be made available at the faculty level.



University of Peradeniya

Submission of Revised/New Study Programs/Courses to the ADPC and Senate for Approval

(Basic Information Required on the Front Page)

1	Prop	oosed/revised Degree Program	
	1.1	Name of Degree programme (as per SLQF)	E.g., Master of Science in Molecular Biology and Biotechnology
	1.2	Abbreviated qualification (as per SLQF)	E.g., MSc (Mol Biol & Biotech)
	1.3	SLQF Level of the Program	E.g., 10
	1.4	Nature of the proposal (tick as appropriate)	1 A new study program
		(tien as appropriate)	2 Revision of the entire study program
			3 Addition/elimination one or more cours
			4 Revision of one/more courses (no chang in the structure of the program)
2	Prog	gram Offering Entity	
	2.1	Postgraduate Institute/Faculty	E.g., Postgraduate Institute of Science
	2.2	Department/s delivering the program/relevant courses	
3	Justification		
4	Contact information to seek clarifications (preferably a mobile phone number):		
1. 7 2. 7	Γhe com Γhe orig	ure the availability of the following informplete structure of the Program in the program, if the protection that the relevant interest is expressed in	• •

Procedure for Developing/Revising Curricula and Obtaining Approval -SOP and submission forms: University of Peradeniya- December 2021

Deputy/Senior Assistant/Assistant Registrar

Annexure	3

University of Peradeniya Hierarchical Levels of Approvals for Revised Curricula

1. Instances where UGC approval is required: *

*, As decided at the 118th meeting of the Standing Committee on Quality Assurance, UGC & based on information available in the UGC application forms

- a. Changes to the number of academic years required for the study program
- b. Changes to SLQF qualification type with designators and qualifiers
- c. Changes to **SLQF** exit level
- d. Adding or removing lateral entry and early exit possibilities
- e. Changes to admission criteria (changes the minimum qualification or requirements for admission)
- f. Introduction/deletion/substitution of one or more specialization/major in an existing study program

Download applications through the link below. https://www.eugc.ac.lk/qac/downloads.html

2. Senate Approval

Any revision to the previously Senate-approved version of the curriculum (e.g., revisions of the program aim, graduate profile, PLOs, program structure including addition or deletion of courses, rules & regulations of the study program, assessment system, or revisions of the content of already approved course specifications of one or more of courses of a study program)

Download:

Course Specification Template:

https://www.pdn.ac.lk/centers/cga/doc/Course%20Specification%20Template.docx

Submission cover page template:

https://www.pdn.ac.lk/centers/cga/doc/Template%20to%20submit%20documents%20for%20 Revision%20on%20study%20programmes.pdf

3. Faculty Board Approval

Any revision that does not require approval of the Senate or UGC (as indicated in the above 02 categories) shall be approved by the Faculty Boards.